Atomic Gas, Molecular Gas, and Dust in Galaxy Evolution

Tsutomu T. TAKEUCHI

Division of Particle and Astrophysical Science, Nagoya University, Japan

Opening Up Terahertz Astronomy in Antarctica, Mitaka, 18-19 Nov., 2015, Japan

1 Interesting epochs of galaxy evolution



1 Interesting epochs of galaxy evolution



(Hopkins & Beacom 2006)

The cosmic star formation history

Focused property in previous studies on galaxy evolution: star formation rate (SFR)

Observational indicator of SFR

- Ionizing UV photons from OB stars
- Recombination lines from HII regions
- Forbidden lines from HII regions
- Non-ionizing UV photons
- IR re-emission from dust
- PAH band emission from photodissociation regions
- Synchrotron radiation, etc.

Though they give information on the SFR, but does not provide more fundamental information on the transformation from gas to stars.

2 Transition from atomic to molecular gas on dust

What are dust grains?

Dust grains are formed by condensation of heavy elements.



Heavy elements are supplied only by stars, hence tightly connected to galaxy evolution.

However, the formation and evolution of dust has been fully theoretically formulated only recently (Asano et al. 2013a, b, 2014; Nozawa et al. 2015), and more observational examinations are still needed.

Role of dust for the star formation

Molecular formation is the key process for star formation, and molecules are predominantly formed on surface of dust grains (e.g., Gould & Salpeter 1963).



These processes depend strongly on the amount and size distribution of dust grains.

Role of dust for the star formation



Dust grains drive the star formation, and actually this is the trigger of the initial starburst, i.e., galaxy formation.

3 Gas mass function of galaxies

Gas mass function of galaxies

Gas mass function of galaxies describes how much HI and H₂ gas exists in galaxies, i.e., the raw materials to form stars.

⇒ It provides complementary information to stellar mass and SFR functions.



Local HI mass function (Lemonias et al. 2013)

Current status of the observational gas mass function

It is not a trivial task to estimate the gas mass function from observation, and currently both HI and H₂ mass functions are obtained only at $z \sim 0$ (Keres et al. 2003; Lemonias et al. 2013).



Current status of the observational gas mass function

It is not a trivial task to estimate the gas mass function from observation, and currently both HI and H₂ mass functions are obtained only at $z \sim 0$ (Keres et al. 2003; Lemonias et al. 2013).

Problem:

- No dedicated wide-area survey for H₂ (in practice, CO), and no ideal survey for HI (as for HI, wide-area survey exists but redshifts are taken from optical surveys).
- Statistical methods are inadequate: the method often adopted to obtain the gas mass function gives a biased result.

Current status of the observational gas mass function

It is not a trivial task to estimate the gas mass function from observation, and currently both HI and H₂ mass functions are obtained only at $z \sim 0$ (Keres et al. 2003; Lemonias et al. 2013).

Problem:

• No dedicated wide-area survey for H₂ (in practice, CO), and no ideal survey for HI (as for HI, wide-area survey exists but redshifts are taken from optical surveys).

• Statistical methods are inadequate: the method often adopted to obtain the gas mass function gives a biased result.

 \Rightarrow Many further investigations are necessary.

Gas mass estimate from dust emission

For high-z galaxies, it is fashionable to convert the dust continuum emission to the total gas (HI+H₂) mass (e.g., Groves et al. 2015).

If it can be used for all types of galaxies, it is extremely useful for statistical studies of gas mass at various redshifts. Gas mass estimate from dust emission

For high-z galaxies, it is fashionable to convert the dust continuum emission to the total gas (HI+H₂) mass (e.g., Groves et al. 2015).

If it can be used for all types of galaxies, it is extremely useful for statistical studies of gas mass at various redshifts.

An empirical relation between metallicity and gas-to-dust mass ratio for local giant galaxies is used. However, a Hershel observation revealed that it is not valid: a strong nonlinearity was discovered at low metallicity range. Gas mass estimate from dust emission

For high-z galaxies, it is fashionable to convert the dust continuum emission to the total gas (HI+H₂) mass (e.g., Groves et al. 2015).

If it can be used for all types of galaxies, it is extremely useful for statistical studies of gas mass at various redshifts.

An empirical relation between metallicity and gas-to-dust mass ratio for local giant galaxies is used. However, a Hershel observation revealed that it is not valid: a strong nonlinearity was discovered at low metallicity range.

 \Rightarrow A better understanding is needed!

Dust and matter circulation in a galaxy



We have developed a theoretical framework to explain this relation (Asano et al. 2013a, b, 2014; Nozawa et al. 2015).

Model of galaxy evolution

Evolution of the total stellar mass, M_* , ISM mass, M_{ISM} , metal mass, M_Z , dust mass, M_d in a galaxy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{*}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{SFR}(t) - R(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{SFR}(t) + R(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{Z}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -Z(t)\mathrm{SFR}(t) + R_{Z}(t) + Y_{Z}(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{d}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathcal{D}(t)\mathrm{SFR}(t) + Y_{d}(t) - \frac{M_{d}}{\tau_{\mathrm{SN}}} + \eta \frac{M_{d}(1-\delta)}{\tau_{\mathrm{acc}}}$$

$$Z(t) \equiv M_{\mathrm{Z}}/M_{\mathrm{ISM}}$$

$$\delta \equiv M_{\mathrm{d}}/M_{Z}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv M_{\mathrm{d}}/M_{\mathrm{ISM}}$$

$$\mathrm{SFR}(t) = \frac{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)}{\tau_{\mathrm{SF}}}$$

Model of galaxy evolution

Evolution of the total stellar mass, M_* , ISM mass, M_{ISM} , metal mass, M_Z , dust mass, M_d in a galaxy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{*}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{SFR}(t) - R(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{SFR}(t) + R(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{Z}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -Z(t)\mathrm{SFR}(t) + R_{Z}(t) + Y_{Z}(t),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathcal{D}(t)\mathrm{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(t) - \frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}}{\tau_{\mathrm{SN}}} + \eta \frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(1-\delta)}{\tau_{\mathrm{acc}}}$$

- Injection/ejection from stars
- Destruction by SN shocks
- Grain growth in the ISM

Result: evolution of gas-to-dust mass ratio



Small grain production by shattering activates the grain growth.

Application to the observed data

The model well reproduced the *Herschel* observation of the dust-to-gas mass ratio for local galaxies.



Need for the establishment of the gas-to-dust mass ratio

The empirical relation between metallicity and gas-to-dust mass ratio for local giant galaxies is not valid. For the estimation of gas mass for galaxies, we should replace it with the prediction of Asano model.

We should establish the gas-to-dust mass ratio and its evolution for the studies of gas in high-*z* galaxies.

⇒ A large dust continuum survey at various redshifts is desired.

The Antarctic terahertz telescope has a high survey efficiency for dust continuum, and we expect a good performance.



4 HI-H₂ bivariate mass function

HI-to-H₂ transition in star formation

Total gas mass-stellar mass relation carries significant information for the star formation process.

We, however, are interested in more detailed process in the star formation in galaxies at various redshifts. From a global point of view, star formation proceeds like:

neutral (HI) gas \Rightarrow molecular (H₂) gas \Rightarrow star formation

4 HI-H₂ bivariate mass function

HI-to-H₂ transition in star formation

Total gas mass-stellar mass relation carries significant information for the star formation process.

We, however, are interested in more detailed process in the star formation in galaxies at various redshifts. From a global point of view, star formation proceeds like:

neutral (HI) gas \Rightarrow molecular (H₂) gas \Rightarrow star formation

Hence, HI-to-H₂ transition is fundamental to understand the physics of star formation.

The HI-H₂ bivariate mass function and its extension

If we have a bivariate distribution function of HI and H_2 in galaxies as a function of redshifts, it can work as a direct measure of the HI-H₂ transition and its evolution.

If we further incorporate it with the stellar mass and SFR distribution, it will give a complete picture of the (global) star formation in galaxies.

The HI-H₂ bivariate mass function and its extension

If we have a bivariate distribution function of HI and H_2 in galaxies as a function of redshifts, it can work as a direct measure of the HI-H₂ transition and its evolution.

If we further incorporate it with the stellar mass and SFR distribution, it will give a complete picture of the (global) star formation in galaxies.

The HI-H₂ bivariate mass function and its extension

If we have a bivariate distribution function of HI and H_2 in galaxies as a function of redshifts, it can work as a direct measure of the HI-H₂ transition and its evolution.

As a relation between (the density of) gas and SFR, the Kennicutt-Schmidt (KS) law is well knowr

The HI-H₂ bivariate mass function corresponds to a further analysis of the KS law.





Construction of the HI-H₂ bivariate mass function

In general, construction of a bivariate distribution is not a trivial task because of complicated combination of selection effects.

This problem is particularly serious in astronomy!

Construction of the HI-H₂ bivariate mass function

In general, construction of a bivariate distribution is not a trivial task because of complicated combination of selection effects.

This problem is particularly serious in astronomy!

We developed a general method to construct a bivariate (and multivariate) distribution function with treating the sample selection effects appropriately (Takeuchi 2010; Takeuchi et al. 2013).

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial^2 C[F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2)]}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2)$$
$$= c[F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2)] f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2)$$

Construction of the HI-H₂ bivariate mass function



Example with various correlation (Takeuchi 2010)

What can we learn from the bivariate function?



Construction of the HI-H₂ bivariate mass function Data

HI: 21 cm line observation by Square Kilometre Array (SKA) Phase 1 (2023 ~)

H₂: Dust continuum/line observation by the Antarctic telescope (10 m) (2023 ~)

Benefit: both are highly efficient in surveys, and coherently obtain the data with simple selection.

 \Rightarrow Gas mass of galaxies up to $z \sim 1$.

We will be able to constrain the theoretical model with the HI-H₂ bivariate mass function.

5 Conclusions

- 1. To have a complete picture of star formation in galaxies, the transition from HI to H₂ is fundamental.
- 2. Gas mass function of galaxies is a useful statistical tool to explore the physics of galaxy evolution.
- 3. Currently dust emission is used to estimate the total gas mass in galaxies. But recently both from observational and theoretical sides, the empirical relation is not valid at low metallicity.
- 4. We developed a new theoretical model for the dust evolution, and it should be examined observationally with the Antarctic telescope.
- **5.** HI-H₂ bivariate gas mass function gives more information than simple total mass function.
- 6. HI data will be provided by SKA, and H₂ at the same redshift range can be well covered by the Antarctic telescope.

Appendix

Model settings

- Closed-box model (total baryon mass is a constant)
- Two-phase ISM (WNM and CNM)
- Schmidt law : SFR(t) = $M_{ISM}(t)/\tau_{SF}$
- Dust formation by SNe II and AGB stars
- Dust reduction through the astration
- Dust destruction by SN shocks in the ISM
- Grain growth in the CNM
- Grain-grain collisions (shattering and coagulation) in the ISM (mass-preserving processes)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \operatorname{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - \frac{M_{\mathrm{swept}}}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \gamma_{\mathrm{SN}}(t) \left[M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - m(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(a,a') f(a',t) \mathrm{d}a \right] + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\mathrm{d}m \frac{\partial [m(a) f_{m}(m,t)]}{\partial t} \right] + \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,CNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,CNM}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \operatorname{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) \qquad \text{Stellar effects} \\
-\frac{M_{\mathrm{swept}}}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \gamma_{\mathrm{SN}}(t) \left[M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - m(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(a,a') f(a',t) \mathrm{d}a \right] \\
+\eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\mathrm{d}m \frac{\partial [m(a) f_{m}(m,t)]}{\partial t} \right] \\
+\eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,CNM}} \\
+\eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,CNM}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \operatorname{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) \\ &- \frac{M_{\mathrm{swept}}}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \gamma_{\mathrm{SN}}(t) \left[M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - m(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(a,a') f(a',t) \mathrm{d}a \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Destruction} \\ \text{by SN shocks} \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\mathrm{d}m \frac{\partial [m(a) f_{m}(m,t)]}{\partial t} \right] \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,CNM}} \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,CNM}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \operatorname{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) \\ &- \frac{M_{\mathrm{swept}}}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \gamma_{\mathrm{SN}}(t) \left[M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - m(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(a,a') f(a',t) \mathrm{d}a \right] \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\mathrm{d}m \frac{\partial [m(a) f_{m}(m,t)]}{\partial t} \right] & \mathbf{Grain \, growth} \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,CNM}} \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,CNM}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \operatorname{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) \\ &- \frac{M_{\mathrm{swept}}}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \gamma_{\mathrm{SN}}(t) \left[M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - m(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(a,a') f(a',t) \mathrm{d}a \right] \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\mathrm{d}m \frac{\partial [m(a) f_{m}(m,t)]}{\partial t} \right] \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,CNM}} \operatorname{Shattering} \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,CNM}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \, \mathrm{SFR}(t) + Y_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) \\ &- \frac{M_{\mathrm{swept}}}{M_{\mathrm{ISM}}(t)} \gamma_{\mathrm{SN}}(t) \left[M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t) - m(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi(a,a') f(a',t) \mathrm{d}a \right] \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\mathrm{d}m \frac{\partial [m(a) f_{m}(m,t)]}{\partial t} \right] \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{shat,CNM}} \\ &+ \eta_{\mathrm{WNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,WNM}} + \eta_{\mathrm{CNM}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{d}}(a,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right]_{\mathrm{coag,CNM}} \mathbf{Coagulation} \end{aligned}$$



Dust supply

AGB stars **Log-normal distribution** Large size grains are produced Winters et al. (1997) Yasuda & Kozasa (2012) Dust mass data Zhukovska et al. (2008) **Type II Supernovae (SNe II) Broken power-law Biased to large grains Nozawa et al. (2007)** Dust mass data **Nozawa et al. (2007)**



Dust destruction and grain growth 1.0µm **Dust destruction by SN shocks** 10-4 Grain size [cm] 10^{-5} **Smaller grains are mainly 0.01µm** 10^{-6} destroyed by SN shocks. 10^{-7} C20 (n_{H.0}=1 cm⁻ 10^{-8} 10⁴ 10⁵ 1000 **Nozawa et al. (2006)** Time after the explosion [yr] ins grow to larger Hirashita & Kuo (2011) **Grain growth** 10^{-1} (b) $t/\tau = 0, 0.04, 0.1, 0.2, 0.6$ (metal accretion onto grains) 10^{-2} 10^{-3} **Smaller grains grow to larger** grains. 10^{-4} 10^{-5} 10^{-6} 0.001 0.010 0.100

Grain size [µm]

Shattering and coagulation (driven by ISM turbulence)



Star Formation Rate (SFR) and Initial Mass Function (IMF)

Star Formation Rate (SFR)Schmidt law (Schmidt 1959)SFR(t) $\propto M_{ISM}^n$ (1 < n < 2)</th>

We assume n = 1 for simplicity.



We adopt $\alpha = 1.35$ and $m_{ch} = 0.35$ M_o in our study.

Timescales of dust destruction and grain growth

Dust destruction by SN shocks in the ISM

ε : dust destruction efficiency $\tau_{\rm SN} = \frac{M_{\rm ISM}(t)}{\epsilon m_{\rm swept} \gamma_{\rm SN}(t)} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \textbf{\textit{m}}_{\rm swept} : \text{ISM mass swept by a SN} \\ \textbf{\textit{shock}} \end{array}$

 γ_{SN} : SN rate (e.g., McKee 1989)

Grain growth by metal accretion

a : mean grain size

 $n_{\rm H}$: number density of the ISM $\tau_{\rm acc} \approx 2.0 \times 10^7$ **T**: ISM temperature

×
$$\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{0.1\mu \mathrm{m}}\right) \left(\frac{n_{\mathrm{H}}}{100 \mathrm{~cm^{-3}}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{50 \mathrm{~K}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{Z}{0.02}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{[yr]}$$

Parameter setting : Total baryon mass : 10^{10} M_o Star formation timescale : 5 Gyr CNM mass fraction : 0.5 WNM mass fraction : 0.5







